

REMCEN

TC1600 Bldg/Roof

Organization

United States Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

REASON CHECKED

☐ Mailed, Left No Address

☐ Unable To Forward

☐ Unclaimed - Not Known

☐ No Such Street

☐ Insufficient Address

☐ No Such Number

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

Init

RECEIVED

DEC 08 2010

USPTO MAIL CENTER

07/06/10

SEND

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109

BTN

100

ST-6

43062175

ATTEMPT

TO SENDS

CA 94306-1548

RETURN TO

UNITED STATES

POSTAL SERVICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20503

07/06/10

109


BTN

100

ST-6



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,824	07/19/2007	Gregory Faris	SRI-110/PCT/US	8993

Lumen IPS
2345 Yale Street, 2nd Floor
Palo Alto, CA 94306

7590 11/02/2010

EXAMINER	
WESTERBERG, NISSA M	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1618	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/586,824	FARIS, GREGORY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nissa M. Westerberg	1618	

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

**WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF
INVENTIONS**

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Art Unit: 1618

Group I, claim(s) 1 - 9, drawn to a method of imaging a region of interest by acquiring images; introducing varying level of inspiratory contrast agents that stimulate vascular changes in the region of interest and obtaining optical measurements of oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin during the introduction of the contrast agents.

Group II, claim(s) 10, drawn to a system configured to implement the method of group I.

Group III, claim(s) 11 - 13, drawn to a noninvasive method of detecting cancer by acquiring images of a region interest before and during inhalation of varying levels of oxygen and carbon dioxide to stimulate vascular changes that result in a dramatic increase in contrast between cancerous and noncancerous tissue.

Group IV, claim(s) 14, drawn to an imaging system comprising a means for administering vasoactive agents, a near infrared light source, an image acquisition manes for acquiring images and a process means for analyzing said images.

3. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I - IV lack unity of invention because the groups do not share the same or corresponding technical feature. Group I requires the use of inspiratory contrast agent to obtain optical measurements of oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin in a region of interested being optically imaged. In contrast, group III requires oxygen and carbon dioxide as a vasoactive agent that causes dramatically increased contrast between cancerous and non-cancerous tissues in a method of detecting cancerous tumors *in vivo*. The systems (groups II and IV) are different in that the required elements of the system of claim 14 are not the same as those required by claim 10, such as a particular wavelength of light source is required in claim 14 but no such limitations are present in the system of claim 10.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Art Unit: 1618

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Joint Inventorship

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Rejoinder Notice

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

6. A telephone call was made to Miriam Kaplan on November 1, 2010 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nissa M. Westerberg whose telephone number is (571)270-3532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 8:00 a.m. - 4 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,824

Page 8

Art Unit: 1618

/Nissa M Westerberg/
Examiner, Art Unit 1618